



Student Representative Assembly Meeting 161
Sunday, October 30, 2016 at 5:00pm
Council Chambers, GH111

Called to Order at 10:08 am

CALL OF THE ROLL

Present	Almeida, Anbalagan, Dales, D'Souza, Eom, Farah, Hsu, Inigo, Kula, Lee, Lightstone, Long, MacDonald, McBride, Mertens, Monaco-Barnes, Nadarajah, Ngo, Oliver, Pita, Ragnanan, Robinson, Rudge, Threndyle
Absent Excused	
Absent	Bengizi, Cole, Shingleton-Smith
Late	Areghan, Baiden, Beley, Flowers,
Others Present	Minoo Faraghel (BSW Student), Erin Sinclair (MSU Member), Patricia Kousoulas (MSU Member), Jenny Mahendran (MSU Member), Tyler Marr (MSU Member), Ryan Deshpande (Diversity Services Director), Rafe Maqsood (MSU Member), John Gabriel (ECC), Donovan Dowridge (MSU Member), V. Scott (Recording Secretary)
Chair	Genya Oparin

TERRITORY RECOGNITION

- The SRA would like to recognize today that we are situated on traditional Haudenosaunee and Anishnaabe territories through the 'Dish with One Spoon Wampum Treaty'.

ADOPTION OF AGENDA

Moved by MacDonald, **seconded** by Lee that the Assembly adopt the agenda, as presented.

Amendment

Moved by Quinn, **seconded** by MacDonald that the Assembly add the following motion as Business Item #9 "Moved by Quinn, seconded by ____ that the Assembly rescind the unexecuted portions of the following motion, that was previously adopted at SRA 16H: "that the SRA take a negative stance on the Exclusive Club Card referendum and use the full capacity of the MSU's financial and communications resources to recommend to students that they should vote no in the upcoming referendum"

- Quinn stated that there was a team of people who put in a lot of work on this, and they came to say their side. She explained that they owed it to the team to hear them out.
- MacDonald agreed.

Vote on Amendment

In Favour: 24 Opposed: 0 Abstentions: 0
Motion Passes

Moved by MacDonald, **seconded** by Oliver to strike Business Item #6 from the agenda.

- MacDonald stated that it would be unfair to close nominations at this meeting as there may be MSU members who would want to run.

Vote on Amendment

In Favour: 26 Opposed: 0 Abstentions: 0
Motion Passes

Vote to Adopt

Moved by MacDonald, **seconded** by Lee that the Assembly adopt the agenda, as amended.

Passes Unanimously

ANNOUNCEMENTS FROM THE CHAIR

- The Chair asked for the observers to please sign the Observer's List that was circulated at the back.

DELEGATION FROM THE FLOOR

Set Parameters

Moved by MacDonald, **seconded** by Oliver to set the parameters for the OUSA delegation to be 30 minutes for presentation, and 15 minutes for questions.

Vote on Parameters

Passes Unanimously

1. OUSA – Jamie Cleary and Zak Rose presented (presentation attached)

- Cleary and Rose went over the presentation with the Assembly.

Questions

- Quinn asked if they were working towards making education being free. Rose responded that there currently is no policy on education being a commodity, and that they don't have a stance in those terms. He explained that they want education to be affordable. Principle one states that they want all willing and qualified students to have access to post-secondary education.
- Lightstone asked if OUSA would be looking into fixing the tuition grant for engineering students. Rose responded that the amounts given out by the grant are an average, and might not reflect the true cost of tuition. He added that this would be something that they would talk about.
- Quinn asked how OUSA viewed direct student democracy in empowering students due to protest/petitions. Rose stated that they were in favour of democracy, but that they don't usually talk about anything they don't have a policy on as they take orders from the steering committee. Cleary added that if there were protests it would be up to the SRA, as there was membership autonomy. Rose clarified if Quinn meant if OUSA facilitated direct democracy. Quinn confirmed. Rose stated that they were founded with a policy focused lens, and they have found to have a lot of success by drafting policy solutions and taking that approach.
- Lightstone asked why the government was looking at averages for the tuition grant and not the programs. Rose responded that they could only guess. He assumed they were doing it because it was easier. Cleary added that they all know engineering won't be free but they are working on trying to make it clear on what the government is trying to do for students.
- Pita asked if there would be any recommendations to the government on changing the measurement of those in a state of financial needs. Rose responded that they have a student financial assistance paper that holds a lot of fix solutions. He added that there was still a lot more to do.
- Quinn asked if they were worried about deregulation. Rose stated that they aren't scared because they don't think that it will be going that way. He explained his reasoning that the government has committed to grants for tuition, and they don't want it to skyrocket. He stated that whatever they see in the next

framework it will be regulated somehow. Rose added that this year the government is heading towards investing in financial aid instead of tuition, so he thinks it would be regulated and hopefully they can help restrain it.

Set Parameters

Moved by MacDonald, **seconded** by Oliver to set parameters for the Athletics and Recreation delegation to be 45 minutes for presentation, and 30 minutes for questions.

- MacDonald stated that this will be a longer presentation and encouraged the Assembly to ask questions.

Vote on Parameters

In Favour: 14 Opposed: 7 Abstentions: 5
Opposed: Threndyle, Pita, Hsu, Anbalagan, Almeida, D'Souza, Quinn
Abstained: Flowers, Lightstone, Ngo, Ragnanan, Long
Motion Passes

Amendment to Agenda

Moved by Nadarajah, **seconded** by Areghan to move Business Item #9 – Rescind Motion Previously Adopted before Delegation from the Floor Item #2.

- Nadarajah stated that the presentation will be long, and that there are some people who would like to speak to this motion.
- Areghan agreed, and felt that he didn't think it was necessary to keep them here any longer than needed.

Vote on Amendment to the Agenda

In Favour: 27 Opposed: 1 Abstentions: 0
Opposed: Dales
Motion Passes

BUSINESS

1. Rescind Motion Previously Adopted

Moved by Quinn, **seconded** by Areghan that the Assembly rescind the unexecuted portions of the following motion, that was previously adopted at SRA 16H: "that the SRA take a negative stance on the Exclusive Club Card referendum and use the full capacity of the MSU's financial and communications resources to recommend to students that they should vote no in the upcoming referendum"

- Quinn stated that she brought this forward to, at the very least, entertain proper debate. She stated that a memo was distributed to the members, and these are students who wanted to get involved.
- Areghan stated that they had a discussion at the last meeting about this, and they should give them a chance to say their piece.
- MacDonald ceded to Rafe Maqsood. Maqsood stated that no one came to them for information and he wanted to know where MacDonald got his information from as he felt it was false. He didn't like the idea that the SRA made this decision based on misinformation.
- MacDonald told the members of ECC that he appreciated they came out. He stated he did not agree with the contents of the memo. The memo stated that he used his power to manipulate students, and that wasn't the case. He went through the memo and pointed out the false claims made by ECC. He explained that first year students were misled into signing the petition, and that he even had people come up to him saying that there were people going around in residence asking for signatures for the ECC petition. He added that the money given to the ECC if the referendum passed would only offer students \$200 worth

of discounts. He stated that wasn't enough value as the Almanac has the same coupons. He asked why \$100,000 of student money would be going into this. MacDonald stated that the company said that the money would go to develop an app. He stated trying to justify making money off of students wasn't good, and that this wasn't what student ancillary fees were meant to do. He stated that the MSU was a not-for-profit aimed at supporting undergraduates. MacDonald pointed out that with the opt-out there wasn't a clear expectation of administration of it. He felt that they were trying to minimize the amount of people who opted out of the proposed fee. MacDonald also added that the company felt that the MSU can't make a similar card, but they are already looking into this option for students. MacDonald stated that this company wasn't a student run organization, and that they aren't being blocked from having a referendum. MacDonald stated that the SRA voted to tell students to vote no, and that's a lot different than blocking them. He added that he was just trying to make sure that a company doesn't come in and take advantage of students.

- Lee ceded to John Gabriel. Gabriel stated that he didn't agree with that was done. He wanted this program for students so that they could have offers for off campus. He explained that they wanted to have the program started at McMaster as he was alum. He stated that it was a five dollar fee as they wanted to have people committed for it. He explained that at the end of the day they just want the students to decide for themselves and have all of the information. He felt that if the SRA tells students to vote no they will just vote that way. He stated that people need to make their own decisions.
- MacDonald stated that the petition was submitted with information and the website. He explained that the website had the points of the program, and based on that he didn't see how this appeal would have changed with 'dispelling myths'. MacDonald stated that this company stood to make money on this, and that it was wrong.
- Lightstone asked for someone to speak to the opt-outs.
- Nadarajah stated that she appreciated the time that the company worked on this and came forward to the Assembly. She wanted to highlight that the students do have a choice and each representative had an opinion and went forward with the formal recommendation. She explained that the referendum was still running, but the only thing that happened was that 35 members decided to put a recommendation to students. Nadarajah stated that students still have a choice on the issue.
- Areghan ceded to Gabriel. Gabriel stated that the referendum should be neutral and students should be given the facts. He added that the opt-out will be the first 30 days of the school year and they will have a representative on campus. He explained that if the student doesn't like the program then they can give back the card. Gabriel added that the ballot doesn't have any information about the campaign or the details of offers, so students would just vote no. He felt that the ballot should be giving information.

Moved by Threndyle, **seconded** by Oliver to Call to Question

In Favour: 16 Opposed: 11 Abstentions: 2

Opposed: Nadarajah, MacDonald, Hsu, Anbalagan, Inigo, Baiden, Areghan, Eom, Robinson, Mertens, Bengizi

Abstained: Ngo, Pita

Motion Fails Due to Requiring Two-Thirds

Back to Main Motion

Moved by Quinn, **seconded** by Areghan that the Assembly rescind the unexecuted portions of the following motion, that was previously adopted at SRA 16H: "that the SRA take a negative stance on the Exclusive Club Card referendum and use the full capacity of the MSU's financial and communications resources to recommend to students that they should vote no in the upcoming referendum"

- Anbalagan overheard John Gabriel getting into an argument before the meeting, and felt that it was mildly harassing. She added that she has heard that he has used scare mongering tactics in the past. She asked Gabriel to speak to this. Ceded rest of time to John Gabriel.
- Gabriel stated he likes to be straight forward and it's never his intention to scare people. He added that he wasn't trying to intimidate but they wanted to make sure that the wrong facts were corrected.

- Bengizi ceded to Maqsood. Maqsood stated that the best thing for democracy would be to take a vote on this. He explained that the ballot doesn't have the information about the club card, and that they need to be informed.
- Baiden stated that their recommendation was based on the conversations held here. He explained that students don't have to agree with that they decide. He added that they took a negative stance for a number of reasons, one of them being that neutral stances usually confuse people.
- Nadarajah clarified something that was brought up by the company. She explained that it was implied that the MSU wouldn't be giving information to the students about the referendum, she explained that was false. She stated that it was the responsibility of the Elections Department to promote the referenda, as well as the Yes side. She stated that elections exist to promote and give information, but this was a separate directive.
- Mertens asked why the company didn't approach the MSU about this before. Ceded rest of time to John Gabriel.
- Gabriel responded that they tried to bring it to the SRA last year, and decided to go with the petition option. He explained that the system wasn't there for students.

Moved by Lee, **seconded** by Almeida to Call to Question

In Favour: 16 Opposed: 9 Abstentions: 2
Opposed: Nadarajah, Bengizi, Inigo, Pita, Hsu, Areghan, Robinson, Mertens, Farah
Abstained: Ngo, MacDonald
Motion Fails Due to Requiring Two-Thirds

Back to Main Motion

Moved by Quinn, **seconded** by Areghan that the Assembly rescind the unexecuted portions of the following motion, that was previously adopted at SRA 16H: "that the SRA take a negative stance on the Exclusive Club Card referendum and use the full capacity of the MSU's financial and communications resources to recommend to students that they should vote no in the upcoming referendum"

- Pita ceded to Maqsood. Maqsood stated that the SRA wasn't informed about the ECC, and it's hard to give an opinion if they aren't informed.
- McBride stated that all of them have the ability to go to the website and read the information. He believed that the Assembly should keep their stance on this.

Moved by Areghan, **seconded** by Pita that the Assembly exhaust the Speaker's List.

In Favour: 28 Opposed: 1 Abstentions: 1
Opposed: Robinson
Abstained: Almeida
Motion Passes

- Robinson asked about the ballot and why it gives just the MSU election information. Ceded rest of time to Zeng.
- Zeng responded that the elections website has all the resources set out, so the ballot directs everyone to go to the MSU website. She explained that by directing students to go to the elections page it makes sure that the ballots remains unbiased, and that students will have the chance to see both sides.
- Almeida stated that they're giving their opinion to the students, they're not voting on behalf of the students on this referendum. She explained that it was the ECC's YesSide's responsibility to promote their campaign during the election and no one has stated that they couldn't. She added that she was on the SRA last year, and nothing was brought forward for this referendum. She explained that while Gabriel may have spoken to a Board member, that doesn't constitute the entire SRA.
- Oliver agreed with Almeida, and that nothing came forward to the SRA for ECC. She explained that SoBi came and did consultations and had a number of presentations, but nothing for this group. She explained

that she only heard about this information because she checked out the website. She stated she will uphold her stance.

Vote on Motion

Moved by Quinn, **seconded** by Areghan that the Assembly rescind the unexecuted portions of the following motion, that was previously adopted at SRA 16H: "that the SRA take a negative stance on the Exclusive Club Card referendum and use the full capacity of the MSU's financial and communications resources to recommend to students that they should vote no in the upcoming referendum"

In Favour: 2 Opposed: 25 Abstentions: 2

Opposed: Monaco-Barnes, Nadarajah, MacDonald, Oliver, Areghan, Long, Ragnanan, Eom, Lee, Robinson, Mertens, Farah

Abstained: Ngo, Pita

Motion Fails

Moved by Nadarajah, **seconded** by Pita that the Assembly recess for 15 minutes.

Passes Unanimously

Recessed at 7:17pm

Called to Order at 7:34pm

CALL OF THE ROLL

Present

Almeida, Areghan, Beley, Dales, D'Souza, Eom, Farah, Hsu, Inigo, Kula, Lee, Lightstone, Long, MacDonald, McBride, Mertens, Monaco-Barnes, Nadarajah, Ngo, Oliver, Pita, Ragnanan, Robinson, Rudge, Threndyle

Absent Excused

Absent

Bengizi, Cole, Shingleton-Smith

Late

Anbalagan, Baiden, Flowers

Others Present

Minoo Faraghel (BSW Student), Erin Sinclair (MSU Member), Patricia Kousoulas (MSU Member), Jenny Mahendran (MSU Member), Tyler Marr (MSU Member), Ryan Deshpande (Diversity Services Director), V. Scott (Recording Secretary)

Chair

Genya Oparin

DELEGATION FROM THE FLOOR

2. Athletics and Recreation – MacDonald and Monaco-Barnes presented (presentation attached)

- MacDonald and Monaco-Barnes went over the presentation with the Assembly.

Questions

- Farah asked how many of the accessibility equipment will increase in the Pulse. MacDonald responded that there will be a student sub-committee and they will make that decision.
- Quinn asked if the renovation will be limited to the energy efficiency periods in the summer. MacDonald responded that while it's only 12 a year there will be conversations. Monaco-Barnes stated that if the space becomes bigger hopefully it was something that could be fixed.
- Threndyle asked if they would have control over the fees if they were increased. MacDonald responded that they wouldn't have control over it as the University uses their discretion for user fees. He added that with the student ancillary fee they do have control over if it was increased.
- Bengizi asked if prayer space will be shared with other space. She asked if there will be added parking. MacDonald responded that it wasn't appropriate for ancillary fees to pay for a parking lot. He added that when they spoke to the MSA about prayer space they were okay with it being a multipurpose space.

- Pita asked if they would be opening more studio spaces, and how many students would benefit from this. MacDonald responded that more studio spaces would be open. He added that he could find a list of those who would benefit.
- Anbalagan asked what information did they get from the focus groups and what was transferred over. MacDonald responded that they have not had the chance to look over the focus group data fully.
- Lightstone asked if there was a reason why the student activity building had to be in conjunction with athletics. MacDonald responded that the University contribution for the operations was contingent on approval. They weren't willing to put it out there to only get half of what they wanted. He explained that they were trying to build something for everyone.
- Farah asked what steps they were taking to make sure that they were getting a lot of feedback from students. MacDonald responded that there will be surveys and focus groups. Monaco-Barnes added that they were hoping the SRA could talk to their constituents about this.
- Areghan asked if they would be allowed to opt-out. MacDonald responded that the concept with student fees that it was all or nothing. He explained that not all may benefit from this but some would, and that they need a sustainable model for financial planning.
- Robinson asked what the timeline would be for closure. MacDonald responded that it would be a year of construction. Monaco-Barnes added that certain sections would be blocked off but there will be other places on campus in the meantime.
- Dales asked with the mortgage being for 40 years what do the student numbers look like, and if it was good planning because of the amount of students. MacDonald responded that if they have more students then it will be paid off faster.

Moved by Nadarajah, **seconded** by Lightstone to exhaust the Speaker's List.

Passes Unanimously

- Mertens asked about the sustainability. Monaco-Barnes responded that they would like to see the space be energy efficient, like having solar and making sure it was compatible with future technologies. MacDonald added that they were targeting LEED Silver requirements.
- Areghan asked with the fee increase if there would still be a charge for a Pulse membership. MacDonald responded that full-time undergraduates wouldn't be expected to pay for a membership.

REPORT PERIOD

1. First Year Council – report attached

2. Business Caucus – Robinson presented

- Robinson summarized the report.

Questions

- Areghan asked who the new members were. Mertens responded that it was Jackie Phung and Eklavya Sehwat.
- Nadarajah asked what the caucus was thinking of striking from their yearplan. Robinson responded that they would be getting rid of the majority of items that Krause or Ghani wanted from their campaigns. He explained that they didn't feel it was their responsibility to carry on.

3. Engineering Caucus – Kula and Flowers presented

- Kula and Flowers summarized the report.

Questions

- Nadarajah asked what the projects that they were struggling with were. Flowers responded that they had a meeting with MES to make sure they had no overlap on the projects they wanted to take on, but after having the conversation it showed that there were. He explained that everything will be planned out in the coming weeks.

4. **Bylaws & Procedures Commissioner – Lee presented**

- Lee summarized the report.

5. **Services Commissioner – report attached**

- Nadarajah went over the report.

6. **Executive Board – Flowers presented**

- Flowers summarized the report.

Questions

- Beley ceded to Kousoulas. She stated that she wasn't consulted about changes to the supervisor for the Welcome Week Faculty Coordinator, and wanted to know the rationale. Nadarajah responded that she had spoken to the Director of Campus Events and that he identified that he could not provide support during Welcome Week because of his large amount of staff. Nadarajah apologized that Kousoulas wasn't consulted, but explained that only the supervisor changed.

7. **Vice-President (Education) – Oliver presented**

- Oliver summarized the report.

Questions

- Lightstone asked about the peer tutoring network and if it would be like the one engineering has. Oliver responded that she inherited the peer tutoring network, and that she had no clue what was going on until SSC contacted her. She said it has been a long time since it first came forward, but it would be similar to the engineer model. She added that societies were approached to see if they wanted to integrate.
- Lee asked for more information on the TA training. Oliver responded that they were in a weird spot with this, as the contract for TAs was with the university but the MSU aren't stakeholders. She explained that the budget submission highlighted TA training and how important it was.

INFORMATION PERIOD

- Farah wished everyone a Happy Diwali.
- Kula informed everyone that this week was Holocaust Survivors' Week.
- MacDonald announced that TwelvEighty was having a ping pong night on November 7.
- Baiden reported that SCSN was hiring their Community Connectors and that applications were due tonight.
- Quinn wished everyone a Happy Diwali. Quinn stated that she wasn't able to attend the last meeting and explained that she was disappointed with the Assembly that they took a side in the MSU Constitution – VP Election Referendum. She stated that this was paternalistic and that they should have taken a neutral stance. She felt it was arrogant to assume that students couldn't make responsible decisions on this, and that the Assembly knows better. Quinn stated that she was disappointed that they were taking opportunities away from people that they were here to represent, and that she thought it didn't make the Assembly look good.

- Lightstone reminded everyone that there will be a lot of referenda happening, and that there was an open seat for Social Sciences that will be elected.
- MacDonald reported that there will be info graphics coming out for SoBi and Marmor detailing what they were.
- D'Souza reported that CLAY planning team positions were open.

QUESTION PERIOD

- Lightstone asked the VP Finance if he could explain the SoBi referendum. MacDonald went over the SoBi referendum with the Assembly.
- Farah asked what the progress was on promoting the Student Assistance Program. MacDonald responded that they continue to push the program but that they will start more earnestly in January.

BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES

1. Governance Ad-Hoc Committee

Moved by Dales, **seconded** by Lightstone that the Assembly strike a *Governance Ad Hoc Committee* for the duration of the fall and winter semesters of the Student Representative Assembly (2016-2017) under the attached terms of reference.

- Dales stated that he went back and met with the Chair and Lee and revised the terms of reference on what he would like the committee to look like. He explained that he would like to change Administrative Assistant to Administrative Services Coordinator or Operations Coordinator. He stated that those positions would have more to give for historical context.
- Lightstone stated that it looked well thought out.

Amendment

Moved by Dales, **seconded** by Lee that the Terms of Reference be amended to include the Administrative Services Coordinator and Operations Coordinator.

Dales stated that it would be beneficial to have these positions in the room.

Vote on Amendment

Passes Unanimously

Back to Main Motion

Moved by Dales, **seconded** by Lightstone that the Assembly strike a *Governance Ad Hoc Committee* for the duration of the fall and winter semesters of the Student Representative Assembly (2016-2017) under the attached terms of reference, as amended.

- MacDonald stated that this was well done, and was a lot better than what was originally presented.

Vote on Motion

Passes Unanimously

BUSINESS

2. Open Nominations for Tuition Task Force

Moved by Oliver, **seconded** by Pita that the Assembly open nominations for one (1) MSU Seat on the Tuition Task Force.

- Oliver stated that she mentioned at the last meeting that they would be opening and closing this seat today, and that she asked the Assembly to promote this. She added that she hoped that they will be able to fill this seat today.

Vote on Motion

Passes Unanimously

3. Open Nominations for Standing Committee

Moved by Hsu, **seconded** by Lightstone that the Assembly open nominations for one (1) SRA seat on the University Affairs Committee.

- Hsu stated that one of her members couldn't make it to the meetings so the seat needs to be opened. She was hoping to get someone in the seat today as they need to vote on policies that were going to Policy Con.

Vote on Motion

Passes Unanimously

4. Open Nominations for Finance Commissioner

Moved by MacDonald, **seconded** by Oliver that the Assembly open nominations for Finance Commissioner.

- MacDonald explained that their previous Commissioner resigned, so they will need a new one. He stated that he will be helping out in the interim.
- Dales asked when meetings would be held.
- MacDonald responded that they will have to find out.
- Bengizi asked if there were meetings set.
- MacDonald responded that meetings weren't set for this term yet.

Vote on Motion

Passes Unanimously

5. Close Nominations for Tuition Task force

Moved by Oliver, **seconded** by Areghan that the Assembly close nominations for one (1) MSU Seat on the Tuition Task Force.

- There were no nominations.

Moved by Quinn, **seconded** by MacDonald to postpone Business Item #4 to SRA meeting 16J.

- Quinn explained that no one was here to elect.

Vote to Postpone

Passes Unanimously

6. Close Nominations for Standing Committee

Moved by Hsu, **seconded** by Bengizi that the Assembly close nominations for one (1) SRA seat on the University Affairs Committee.

Nominations

- Quinn nominated Pita – declined
- Lee nominated Rudge – accepted
- Hsu nominated Baiden – accepted
- Rudge withdrew his nomination

Passes Unanimously

- Baiden won the SRA seat on the University Affairs Committee by acclamation.

7. OUSA Fall GA Delegation

Moved by Oliver, **seconded** by Hsu that the Assembly ratify the following delegates for the MSU's delegation to OUSA's 2016 Fall General Assembly: Blake Oliver, Justin Monaco-Barnes, Ryan MacDonald, Victoria Liu, Megan Hsu, Sandy Tat, Daniel D'Souza, Ryan Deshpande, Sarah Giacobbo, and David Lee.

Amendment

Moved by Oliver, **seconded** by Hsu that the motion be amended and remove Sandy Tat.

- Oliver stated that the member could no longer attend the GA

Vote on Amendment

Passes Unanimously

- Lightstone asked if they would still have the total amount of votes if they were missing one delegate.
- Oliver responded that they have eight voting seats, and bring two observers.

Vote on Motion

Moved by Oliver, **seconded** by Hsu that the Assembly ratify the following delegates for the MSU's delegation to OUSA's 2016 Fall General Assembly: Blake Oliver, Justin Monaco-Barnes, Ryan MacDonald, Victoria Liu, Megan Hsu, Daniel D'Souza, Ryan Deshpande, Sarah Giacobbo, and David Lee.

Passes Unanimously

8. Yearplan

Moved by Lee, **seconded** by Bengizi that the Assembly approve the Bylaw & Procedures Commissioner Yearplan.

Passes Unanimously

COMMITTEE BUSINESS

1. Access Copyright and Maroon Paper

Moved by Oliver that the Assembly approve edits to the Access Copyright policy paper and Maroon Paper as circulated.

- Oliver went over the paper with the Assembly.

Passes Unanimously

2. OPERATING POLICY – MSU WANTS YOU WORKING GROUP

Moved by Anbalagan that the Assembly accept the changes to OPERATING POLICY – MSU WANTS YOU WORKING GROUP, as circulated and attached.

- Anbalagan went over the changes in the policy with the Assembly.

Vote on Motion

Passes Unanimously

3. OPERATING POLICY – SUSTAINABILITY EDUCATION WORKING GROUP

Moved by Anbalagan that the Assembly accept the changes to OPERATING POLICY – MSU SUSTAINABILITY EDUCATION WORKING GROUP, as circulated and attached.

- Anbalagan went over the changes in the policy with the Assembly. She explained that she consulted with Monaco-Barnes and the Advocacy Coordinator.
- Nadarajah pointed out that 3.4 still stated working group.
- Anbalagan stated that she will make sure it got changed, as it was an oversight.

Vote on Motion

Passes Unanimously

TIME OF NEXT MEETING

**Sunday, November 13, 2016
5:00pm
Council Chambers, GH 111**

CALL OF THE ROLL

Present	Almeida, Anbalagan, Areghan, Baiden, Beley, Dales, D’Souza, Eom, Farah, Flowers, Hsu, Inigo, Kula, Lee, Lightstone, Long, MacDonald, McBride, Mertens, Monaco-Barnes, Nadarajah, Ngo, Oliver, Pita, Ragnanan, Robinson, Rudge, Threndyle
Absent Excused	
Absent	Bengizi, Cole, Shingleton-Smith
Late	
Others Present	Minoo Faraghel (BSW Student), Erin Sinclair (MSU Member), Patricia Kousoulas (MSU Member), Jenny Mahendran (MSU Member), Tyler Marr (MSU Member), Ryan Deshpande (Diversity Services Director), V. Scott (Recording Secretary)
Chair	Genya Oparin

ADJOURNMENT

Moved by MacDonald, **seconded** by Inigo that the meeting be adjourned.

Passes Unanimously

Adjourned at 9:49pm

/vs

DRAFT